

TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN AND TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR

THREE SISTERS MOUNTAIN VILLAGE

February 2017







STANDARD LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared by MMM Group Limited, a WSP Company (MMM) for the account of the Three Sisters Mountain Village Properties Ltd. and QuantumPlace Developments Ltd. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the client, Three Sisters Mountain Village Properties Ltd. and QuantumPlace Developments Ltd. The material in this report reflects MMM's best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties, with the sole exception of the Town of Canmore. MMM accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by a third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

Revisions Summary

Document Revision	Date	Summary of Changes	Author	Reviewer
0.0	15 Jan 2017	Draft for Internal Review	MS	ML
1.0	26 Jan 2017	Submission to Client	MS	ML

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION
1.1	General6
1.2	Study Objectives6
1.3	Site Description6
2.0	CANMORE MOBILITY BACKGROUND
2.1	Policy
2.2	Street Network
2.3	Transit 12
2.4	Study Horizon13
2.5	Study Intersections for Driving Analysis13
3.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
3.1	Smith Creek Development Area 13
3.2	Resort Centre 14
4.0	BASE MOBILITY DEMAND15
4.1	Base
4.2	Future Base
5.0	DEVELOPMENT GENERATED DEMAND
5.1	Trip Generation
5.2	Internal and Pass-by Trips 17
5.3	Trip Distribution
5.4	Mode Share
6.0	COMBINED DEMAND
7.0	MOBILITY FACILITIES OPERATION AND DISCUSSION
7.1	Walking

7.2	Cycling
7.3	Riding Public Transit
7.4	Driving and Intersection Design and Control267.4.1Capacity Analysis Results27
8.0	RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS
8.1	Walking
8.2	Cycling
8.3	Riding Transit
8.4	Driving
8.5	Classifications
9.0	CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE NO.

Figure 1	Site Location	7
Figure 2	Street Classifications (Source: Integrated Transportation Plan 2014)	10
Figure 3	Active Transportation Network (Source: Integrated Transportation Plan 2014)	11
Figure 4	Roam Transit Weekday Route 2016 Implementation (Source: Town of Canmore)	12
Figure 5	2026 AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes	21
Figure 6	2026 PM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes	22
Figure 7	2036 AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes	23
Figure 8	2036 PM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes	24
Figure 9	Future Post-Development Intersection Details	33

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE NO.

Table 1	Smith Creek Development Area Land Use	14
Table 2	Resort Centre Land Use	14
Table 3	Smith Creek Development Area	16
Table 4	Resort Centre	17
Table 5	Trip Distribution – Smith Creek Potential Development Area	18
Table 6	Trip Distribution – Resort Centre	18
Table 7	BC Transit Whistler Mode Share 2015 and Future Targets	19
Table 8	2014 Canmore Municipal Census Commute to Work Mode Shares	19
Table 9	Level of Service Criteria for Intersections (HCM 2010)	26
Table 10	Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic	27
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	
Table 11	Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic	28
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	
Table 12	Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic	28
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	
Table 13	Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic	29
	Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	

Table 14	Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic	29
	Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	
Table 15	Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic	30
	Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard	
Table 16	Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic	30
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road	
Table 17	Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic	31
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road	
Table 18	Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic	31
	Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road	
Table 19	Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic	34
	Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre West Access	
Table 20	Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic	34
	Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre West Access	
Table 21	Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic	35
	Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre East Access	
Table 22	Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic	36
	Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre East Access	

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Synchro Outputs
- Appendix B Indicative Cross Section

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

MMM, a WSP Company, has been retained by QuantumPlace Developments Ltd, on behalf of Three Sisters Mountain Village Properties Ltd, to prepare this Transportation Master Plan (TMP) and Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) in support of the Three Sisters Mountain Village Smith Creek Area Structure Plan (ASP), located in the Town of Canmore (Town). The intent of this report is to provide relevant information to the Smith Creek ASP, however the report refers to an additional development area known as Resort Centre. The development of Resort Centre is anticipated to follow full build-out of the Smith Creek although the exact timeline is currently unknown, and it's likely there may be overlapping phasing between the two developments. The Resort Centre development has been referenced in this report to provide the best possible understanding of the future transportation system using all currently available information.

1.2 Study Objectives

The purpose of a TIA is to estimate the likely impacts to the transportation system of a proposed development. A whole system TIA examines the complete transportation system including walking, cycling, and transit facilities, and not just the future capacity and operation of select intersections. This TIA is a whole system TIA and aims to follow the policy direction set by the Town in the 2014 Integrated Transportation Plan. As such, this document contains principles and information consistent with a master planning approach to the local transportation system. This TIA follows the Integrated Transportation Plan Active Transportation Network and Street Classifications, and the planned Roam transit service operated by the Bow Valley Regional Transit Service Commission. This document will be referred to as a TMPTIA (Transportation Master Plan and Transportation Impact Assessment).

1.3 Site Description

The Smith Creek site is located in eastern Canmore, south of Highway 1, and approximately between Dead Man's Flats interchange on Highway 1 and the Three Sisters Parkway interchange on Highway 1. Access to the ASP area will be via either Highway 1 or the Three Sisters Parkway.

The ASP area features mixed land use and vibrant communities defined by many principles of sustainability including a complete streets philosophy. Walking, cycling, and public transit will be significantly integrated into this community and will form the backbone of the mobility system linking with the Town's multimodal network as described in the Integrated Transportation Plan. As such, this TMPTIA focuses on all modes of transportation and makes recommendations that go beyond intersection configuration and control that generally serve to maximize vehicle levels of service.

A site location map is provided in Figure 1.



2.0 CANMORE MOBILITY BACKGROUND

2.1 Policy

The Town approved an Integrated Transportation Plan in 2014. This plan includes a vision statement and guiding principles.

Vision Statement

"The Integrated Transportation Plan builds on [a] strategic initiative to define a multi-modal transportation system that helps Canmore become the kind of community its residents want it to be. It is recommended that through actions set out in the Integrated Transportation Plan, the Town of Canmore is envisioned to be Alberta's premiere walking and cycling community and achieve a 30% mode share of sustainable modes by 2020. This goal is reflected in the recommendations for the Town, particularly through focusing on the design of complete streets and improving the active transportation network" (Integrated Transportation Plan page 5).

Guiding Principles (from the Integrated Transportation Plan)

- > A multi-modal transportation network will connect neighbourhoods and places of interest.
- > The transportation system will provide mobility and access for all.
- The transportation system will reinforce the Town Centre as a commercial, civic, and cultural focal point in Canmore.
- Transportation corridors will be aesthetically pleasing and inviting as destinations as well as movement spaces.
- > The transportation system will be developed and maintained in a responsible and sustainable manner.

This vision statement and guiding principles provide the framework in which this TMPTIA has been completed. This TMPTIA focuses on the multimodal transportation networks identified in the Integrated Transportation Plan, but these are future networks to be implemented. The full success of this Smith Creek ASP area and the transportation network therein depend on the implementation by the Town of the identified networks in the Integrated Transportation Plan.

2.2 Street Network

The Town's street network is shown below in Figure 2 – an excerpt from the Integrated Transportation Plan. The key street for this TMPTIA shown below is the Three Sisters Parkway which has been designated as a Liveable Collector street through the west side of the Smith Creek by the Town, though it is Highway 742 within Alberta Transportation's jurisdiction. This designation is defined in the Integrated Transportation Plan as follows:

"Collector Streets provide the connection between local streets and the arterial network. In many ways, they operate in much the same manner as local arterial streets and have a relatively even balance between

vehicle and active mode priority. As these streets are often gateways to residential areas, there is considerable flexibility in design to reflect neighbourhood characteristics. Mobility should be accommodated on a neighbourhood scale, where facilities for active modes are prioritized" (Integrated Transportation Plan page 12).

Further to the street network, Figure 3 below shows the cycling network. This network features green, blue, and black routes – similar in definition to ski trails. Green routes are intended for cyclists of all skill levels and are often paths separated from moving vehicles. Green routes serve recreational trips well, and can serve commuter cycling also. Blue routes are intended for intermediate cyclists and may have some steeper terrain compared to the green routes. Blue routes may be off-street paths or on-street facilities like bike lanes with a buffer with moving traffic. Black routes are intended for more advanced cyclists and may involve bike lanes immediately adjacent to moving traffic of moderate to high volume.



Figure 2 Street Classifications (Source: Integrated Transportation Plan 2014)



Figure 3 Active Transportation Network (Source: Integrated Transportation Plan 2014)

2.3 Transit

The Bow Valley Regional Transit Service Commission operates Roam transit in the Town area. Roam transit has planned to operate the route shown in Figure 4 through the Town beginning in late 2016. Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday will have service from 6:00 - 21:00; and Thursday and Friday will have 6:00 - 22:00. Peak period will have service every 30 minutes (7:00 - 10:30 and 15:00 - 18:30) and the rest of the day (off-peak) will have 60 minute frequency. The community will also have Saturday and Sunday service.

Figure 4 Roam Transit Weekday Route, 2016 Implementation (Source: Town of Canmore)



2.4 Study Horizon

QuantumPlace Developments expects the two areas, Smith Creek and Resort Centre, to develop and achieve full build-out in approximately 10 – 15 years. Further to this horizon and according to the Alberta Transportation Traffic Impact Assessment Guideline, an existing conditions and 20 year horizons have also been analyzed.

Study horizons:

- Existing conditions
- Smith Creek and Resort Centre full build out in 10 15 years
- 20 years

2.5 Study Intersections for Driving Analysis

For this TMPTIA, the intersections listed below were assessed. These intersections either provide direct access to the proposed development or are located very closely to the proposed development.

- > Dead Man's Flats interchange eastbound intersection
- Three Sisters interchange intersections
- Resort Centre West Access with Three Sisters Parkway
- Resort Centre East Access with Three Sisters Parkway

3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Smith Creek Development Area

The Smith Creek area is generally bounded by Highway 1 on the north side and is immediately west of the existing Dead Man's Flats interchange with Highway 1. It will be a vibrant mixed land use community also with multiple types of housing and commercial spaces. Land uses and densities are described in Table 1.

Consistent with the Integrated Transportation Plan, principles of multimodality will guide the development of the mobility network and the design of streets. An extension to the east of the existing Three Sisters Parkway will provide the main community connection for mobility and access.

Table 1 presents the land use types and intensities in Smith Creek development. It should be noted that immaterial changes in the land use areas will not have any significant impacts on the results of this report.

LAND USE	GROSS FLOOR AREA/UNITS	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Commercial	34,956	m ²
Retail	48,334	m²
Industrial	19,706	m²
Apartments	305	Units
Townhouse	522	Units
Single Family	677	Units

Table 1 Smith Creek Development Area Land Use

3.2 Resort Centre

The Resort Centre development area is not the primary focus of this report, but it is a large proposed development area which will have a significant impact on the surrounding road network upon the completion. This area is bounded by the Three Sisters Parkway on the north side and sits immediately west of the existing Stewart Creek neighbourhood. It will be a vibrant mixed land use community with multiple types of housing and commercial spaces. Land uses and densities are described in the below Table 2.

Consistent with the Integrated Transportation Plan, principles of multimodality will guide the development of the mobility network and the design of streets. A main street will connect the area to the Three Sisters Parkway with two proposed access points – an East Access and a West Access. This main street will be a Liveable Urban Boulevard and street design shall be consistent with the intended street functions as described in the Integrated Transportation Plan.

Table 2 presents the land use types and intensities in Resort Centre development. It should be noted that immaterial changes in the land use areas will not have any significant impacts on the results of this report.

LAND USE	GROSS FLOOR AREA/UNITS	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Retail	12,459	m²
Hotel	37,134	m ²
Leisure	15,739	m²
Retirement	24,630	m²
Apartments	1,232	Units
Townhouse	645	Units
Single Family	465	Units

Table 2	Resort	Centre	Land	
	1763011	CEILLE	Lanu	036

4.0 BASE MOBILITY DEMAND

4.1 Base

The traffic turning movements at the Highway 1 ramp terminal intersections were estimated based on Alberta Transportation's 2015 traffic volumes which are the latest available traffic data posted on Alberta Transportation's website. To estimate the 2016 traffic, a 2.5% (Alberta provincial average traffic growth rate) increase was applied to the 2015 traffic volumes. The existing traffic volumes on the Three Sisters Parkway were estimated based on the traffic counts conducted by Bunt & Associates in 2015 in the Stewart Creek Phase 3 TIA and the Three Sisters Resort TIA conducted by UMA Engineering Ltd. in 2008.

4.2 Future Base

To estimate future base traffic, the existing base traffic was increased linearly at 2.5% per year (Alberta provincial average traffic growth rate). This was completed for each future horizon.

5.0 DEVELOPMENT GENERATED DEMAND

5.1 Trip Generation

To estimate the development-generated transportation demand, the industry-typical data source has been used – the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual. Though the data is based on many suburban site studies, this data source is commonly used in many impact assessment studies around Alberta and Canada.

LAND USE	TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY						
		AM			PM		
	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	
Residential	830	184	646	1006	647	359	
Business and Industrial	748	658	90	706	110	596	
Retail	426	264	162	1809	868	941	
Residential Trips Total	830	184	646	1006	647	359	
Internal Trips (10%)	83	18	65	101	65	36	
Mode Share (30%)	224	50	174	272	175	97	
Residential Sub-total	523	116	407	634	407	226	
Business and Industrial Trips Total	748	658	90	706	110	596	
Internal Trips (10%)	75	66	9	71	11	60	
Mode Share (30%)	202	178	24	191	30	161	
Business and Industrial Trips Sub-total	471	414	57	445	69	375	
Commercial Total	426	264	162	1809	868	941	
Internal Trips (10%)	43	26	16	181	87	94	
Mode Share (30%)	115	71	44	488	234	254	
Pass-by Trips (35%)	94	58	36	399	191	207	
Commercial Non-Pass-by Trips Sub-total	175	108	66	741	356	385	
Total New Trips	1169	639	530	1819	832	987	

	Table 3	Smith	Creek	Development Area	l
--	---------	-------	-------	-------------------------	---

LAND USE	TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY					
		AM			PM	
	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT
Residential	1292	322	970	1523	953	570
Retail	186	116	71	729	350	379
Hotel	285	168	117	323	165	158
Leisure	347	229	118	464	227	237
Residential Total	1292	322	970	1523	953	570
Internal Trips (10%)	129	32	97	152	95	57
Mode Share (30%)	349	87	262	411	257	154
Residential Sub-total	814	203	611	960	600	359
Commercial Total	186	116	71	729	350	379
Internal Trips (10%)	19	12	7	73	35	38
Mode Share (30%)	50	31	19	197	95	102
Pass-by Trips (35%)	41	25	16	161	77	84
Commercial Sub-total	76	47	29	299	143	155
Hotel Total	285	168	117	323	165	158
Internal Trips (10%)	29	17	12	32	16	16
Mode Share (30%)	77	45	32	87	44	43
Hotel Sub-total	180	106	74	203	104	100
Leisure Total	347	229	118	464	227	237
Internal Trips (90%)	313	206	106	418	205	213
Mode Share (30%)	10	7	4	14	7	7
Leisure Sub-total	24	16	8	32	16	17
Total New Trips	1094	372	722	1494	863	631

Table 4 Resort Centre

5.2 Internal and Pass-by Trips

Internal trips should be considered for a multi-use development. According to the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, a multi-use development is typically a single real-estate project that consists of two or more ITE land use classifications between which trips can be made without using the off-site street system. The internal trips can be made either by walking, cycling, or by vehicles using internal streets. In this study, the proposed development is a multi-use development (residential, shopping centre, and commercial), therefore to estimate the trips made on the external streets, the internal trips that are not made on the external street

system should be deducted from the total trips. To account for the internal trips, a 10% rate of internal capture was used in this study.

According to the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, pass-by trips are defined as the trips that are made as intermediate stops on the way from an origin to a primary trip destination without a route diversion. Pass-by trips are attracted from traffic passing the site on an adjacent street or roadway that offers direct access to the generator. Pass-by trips will not add new traffic to the adjacent street system. In this study, the proposed retail and commercial developments will attract pass-by trips. In accordance with the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, an average 34% of the trips generated by retail are pass-by trips. In this study, it is assumed that 35% of the total trips generated by the commercial development will be pass-by trips.

5.3 Trip Distribution

Trip distribution patterns for the proposed Smith Creek and Resort Centre development areas were estimated based on the location of the Town Center and the surrounding road and street networks. Tables 5 and 6 summarize the development trip distribution patterns used in this study.

DIRECTION	RESIDENTIAL	RETAIL	BUSINESS & INDUSTRIAL
Hwy 1 West	25%	20%	25%
Hwy 1 East	25%	20%	25%
Three Sisters Pkwy West	50%	60%	50%

Table 5 Trip Distribution - Smith Creek Potential Development Area

Table 6 Trip Distribution – Resort Centre

DIRECTION	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	HOTEL	LEISURE
Hwy 1 West	20%	10%	40%	0%
Hwy 1 East	20%	10%	40%	0%
Three Sisters Pkwy West	50%	40%	20%	50%
Three Sisters Pkwy East	5%	40%	0%	50%
Three Sisters Blvd South	5%	0%	0%	0%

5.4 Mode Share

Further to trip distribution, a mode share analysis was undertaken. To inform the analysis, research was completed on observed mode shares in other mountain resort communities with comparable land use and

mobility network patterns, and travel behaviour. Through literature research and contact with BC Transit, data was found for the community of Whistler in BC Transit's Transit Future Plan Sea to Sky 2015. In 2015, the Whistler annual transit mode share of all trips was 15% - this does not include the walking or cycling mode share. The author of this report has not compared BC Transit's transit service level in Whistler to that of Roam transit in Canmore, however given the policy direction of the Town in the Integrated Transportation Plan it is assumed that a 15% annual transit mode share is a rational assumption for these developments. Whistler has transit mode share targets greater than 15% in the next five to 10 years – see Table 7 below.

	SEA TO SKY REGION	SQUAMISH	WHISTLER	PEMBERTON VALLEY
2015 Transit Mode Share		1.3 %	15%	1.5%
2020 Transit Mode Share Target	50% by 2030	2.5%	16%	2%
2025 Transit Mode Share Target		5%	20%*	4%
2040 Transit Mode Share Target		10%	25%*	6%

Table 7 BC Transit Whistler Mode Share 2015 and Future Targets

Table 8 below contains the Town's commute to work mode share sourced from the Town's 2014 Municipal Census. The Work in Canmore row below shows the mode shares of those people who work within the Town, versus Work All Locations which shows the mode shares of all working Town people in all areas including Calgary and other jurisdictions. These data show many people are walking or cycling to work in 2014.

Table 8 2014 Canmore Municipal Census Commute to Work Mode Shares

	BICYCLE	OTHER	PASSENGER	CAR	TRANSIT	WALK	NO ANSWER	UNKNOWN	TOTAL
Work in Canmore	359	94	104	2736	7	802	19	20	4141
Percentage	9%	2%	3%	66%	0%	19%	0%	0%	100%
Work All Locations	372	279	257	4697	58	812	1525	42	8042
Percentage	5%	3%	3%	58%	1%	10%	19%	1%	100%

From all above data combined with the Town's policy direction in the Integrated Transportation Plan, it is assumed that at least 30% of weekday peak hour trips will not be single occupant vehicle trips – these trips will use the walking, cycling, or transit modes of transportation. 30% mode share is also consistent with the Town's vision for the year 2020.

6.0 COMBINED DEMAND

The estimated combined travel demand for the driving transportation mode is shown on Figures 5 to 8. These peak hour volumes were input into a developed model to estimate the operational impacts on the key intersections listed above in this report.



161-03959-00 Smith Creek TMPTIA Figure 5: 2026 AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes



161-03959-00 Smith Creek TMPTIA Figure 6: 2026 PM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes



161-03959-00 Smith Creek TMPTIA Figure 7: 2036 AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes



161-03959-00 Smith Creek TMPTIA Figure 8: 2036 PM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volumes

7.0 MOBILITY FACILITIES OPERATION AND DISCUSSION

7.1 Walking

The walking mode of transportation is the most basic of all modes – all trips begin and end with some amount of walking. It is also the most sustainable mode requiring minimal capital and operational costs and emitting no pollution during operation. Walking also achieves common public policy objectives like increasing our daily physical activity and contributing to chronic illness reduction. For these reasons, and to minimize single occupant vehicle demand along with the impacts of this development, facilities for walking should be provided.

- > Streets should have sidewalks on both sides of the street, where appropriate
- Sidewalks should be a minimum width of 1.5 2 m
- > Sidewalks should be wider on streets with higher intensity adjacent land uses
- > Provision of curb ramps and universal access principles should influence all sidewalk design
- Intersections should have crosswalks on each approach with clear pavement markings and automatic pedestrian signal phases, only where signals are required, that do not require the pedestrian to activate the signal phase.

7.2 Cycling

Cycling is the second most sustainable mode of transportation and it allows a larger range of travel for the user compared with walking. Cycling is very low cost for both the user and the jurisdiction building and maintaining facilities, and also achieves common public policy objectives like increasing our daily physical activity and contributing to chronic illness reduction. Cycling facilities should be provided to minimize single occupant vehicle demand; to help the Town achieve the 30% more sustainable mode share target; and to minimize the impacts of this development.

- > Most Arterial and Liveable (collector) streets should have dedicated cycling facilities
- Cycling facilities should be a minimum of 1.5 m wide
- Streets with higher volumes should have a buffer between the dedicated cycling facility and the moving vehicles and a buffer with an on-street parking lane if exists (see below image from NACTO).



7.3 Riding Public Transit

Public transit is an important part of a complete neighbourhood and the provision of public transit allows a more socially equitable community. Transit is currently operated in the Town by Roam. Service is expected to expand to cover this new ASP area. Transit has a high operational cost, but provides significant benefit to the community. Transit facilities should be provided to minimize single occupant vehicle demand; to help the Town achieve the 30% more sustainable mode share target; and to minimize the impacts of this development.

- The street network should be planned to be as direct as possible, minimizing confusion and trip time for transit riders
- Development should be the most intense along transit corridors; both increasing ridership and minimizing vehicle transportation impacts through the transit use
- The streets surrounding the transit corridor should be walkable with relatively small block sizes and provision of sidewalks on both sides of the street
- The public realm of transit corridors should be of good quality, encouraging walking and the use of transit.

7.4 Driving and Intersection Design and Control

To determine the operating conditions of an intersection or street, the concept of level of service (LOS) is generally used. The LOS of an intersection is a qualitative measure of capacity and operating conditions and is directly related to vehicle delay. LOS is given a letter designation from A to F, with LOS A representing very short delays and LOS F representing very long delays.

For this study, MMM developed Synchro Studio 9 (Synchro) intersection simulation models for the study intersections. Synchro 9 implements the methods of the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 (HCM 2010) and follows the LOS criteria that are listed in Table 9.

SIGNALIZED CONTROL	UNSIGNALIZED	LOS BY VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO				
DELAY (S)	CONTROL DELAY (S)	V/C ≤ 1.0	V/C > 1.0			
≤ 10	≤ 10	А	F			
> 10 and ≤ 20	> 10 and ≤ 15	В	F			
> 20 and ≤ 35	> 15 and ≤ 25	С	F			
> 35 and ≤ 55	> 25 and ≤ 35	D	F			
> 55 and ≤ 80	i5 and ≤ 80 > 35 and ≤ 50 E		F			
> 80	> 50	F	F			

Table 9 Level of Service Criteria for Intersections (HCM 2010)

7.4.1 Capacity Analysis Results

7.4.1.1 Highway 1 Eastbound Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard

The Highway 1 eastbound off-ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard intersection is currently controlled by a stop sign on the eastbound off-ramp with free flow conditions on Three Sisters Boulevard. It is anticipated that traffic signals will be required to accommodate the 2026 and 2036 post-development traffic. No material geometric improvements will be required.

The operational performance of all traffic movements at this intersection at the analysis horizons are summarized in Tables 10 to 12. The detailed Synchro outputs are attached in Appendix A.

TRAFFIC		AM PEA	K HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR			
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
EBLT	10.5	В	0.02	0.8	11.3	В	0.05	1.6
EBR	9.8	A	0.18	5.6	11.2	В	0.35	12.0
NBT	0.0	A	0.00	0.0	0.0	A	0.00	0.0
NBR	0.0	А	0.00	0.0	0.0	А	0.0	0.0
SBL	7.6	A	0.00	0.0	7.7	A	0.00	0.1
SBT	0.0	A	0.00	0.0	0.0	A	0.00	0.0
INT Summary	3.0	A	0.18	-	4.2	A	0.35	-

Table 10 Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard (Stop Control)

Table 11 Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC		AM PEA	K HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR			
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
EBLT	25.8	С	0.06	5.7	14.6	В	0.07	8.6
EBR	11.8	В	0.68	19.3	24.7	С	0.88	70.8
NBT	5.1	A	0.33	41.4	14.1	В	0.46	75.6
NBR	1.2	A	0.23	7.4	2.8	A	0.36	14.5
SBLT	1.5	A	0.20	2.3	5.3	A	0.39	67.6
INT Summary	5.3	A	0.68	-	13.6	В	0.88	-

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard (Signal Control)

Table 12 Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/	Three Sisters	Boulevard	(Signal Control)
------------------------	---------------	-----------	-----------------	---

TRAFFIC		AM PEA		PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
EBLT	22.3	С	0.04	6.6	12.4	В	0.07	9.6
EBR	7.1	A	0.57	19.9	28.9	С	0.89	107.1
NBT	8.4	A	0.42	49.1	22.8	С	0.61	111.9
NBR	1.5	А	0.27	8.0	3.8	А	0.44	18.6
SBLT	2.1	A	0.27	2.8	6.7	А	0.51	82.0
INT Summary	5.5	А	0.57	-	17.6	В	0.89	-

The above capacity analyses show that all traffic movements at the Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard intersection are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours up to the 20 year horizon, under signal control. The existing lane configuration at this intersection with signal control is capable of accommodating the forecasted 20 year horizon post-development traffic volumes.

7.4.1.2 Highway 1 Westbound Off-Ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard

The Highway 1 westbound Off-Ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard intersection is currently controlled by a stop sign on the westbound off-ramp with free flow conditions on Three Sisters Boulevard. It is anticipated that the existing intersection treatment with stop control will not be capable of accommodating the postdevelopment traffic. Thus, traffic signals are recommended to be installed at this intersection to improve the traffic operational performance. No material geometric improvements will be required.

The operational performance of all traffic movements at this intersection at the analysis horizons are summarized in Tables 13 to 15. The detailed Synchro outputs are attached in Appendix A.

Table 13 Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic

TRAFFIC		AM PEA	K HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR			
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
WBLTR	13.1	В	0.23	7.4	16.5	С	0.33	12.1
NBL	7.5	A	0.11	2.9	7.6	A	0.13	4.0
SBTR	0.0	A	0.02	0.0	0.0	A	0.01	0.0
INT Summary	8.4	A	0.23	0.0	9.5	A	0.33	-

Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard (Stop Control)

Table 14 Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic

Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard (Signal Control)

TRAFFIC		AM PEA		PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
WBLTR	18.5	В	0.36	50.2	20.6	С	0.52	72.1
NBL	23.5	С	0.80	71.1	22.4	С	0.84	99.6
SBTR	7.3	А	0.05	5.5	9.1	А	0.02	3.9
INT Summary	20.9	С	0.80	-	21.4	С	0.84	-

Table 15 Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC		AM PEA		PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
WBLTR	20.7	С	0.44	57.3	25.2	С	0.59	89.2
NBL	21.4	С	0.83	83.9	25.0	С	0.88	137.2
SBTR	6.9	А	0.06	6.3	9.6	А	0.02	4.2
INT Summary	20.4	С	0.83	-	24.8	С	0.88	-

Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp/ Three Sisters Boulevard (Signal Control)

The preceding capacity analyses show that all traffic movements at the Highway 1 WB Off-Ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard intersection are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours up to the 20 year horizon. The existing lane configuration at this intersection with signal control is capable of accommodating the forecasted 20 year horizon post-development traffic volumes.

7.4.1.3 Highway 1 Eastbound Off-Ramp / George Biggy Sr Road

The Highway 1 eastbound Off-Ramp / George Biggy Sr Road intersection is currently controlled by a stop sign on the Highway 1 eastbound off-ramp with free flow conditions on George Biggy Sr Road. It is anticipated that the existing intersection treatment with stop control will be capable of accommodating the forecast future post-development traffic. No material geometric improvements will be required.

The operational performance of all traffic movements at this intersection at the analysis horizons are summarized in Tables 16 to 18. The detailed Synchro outputs are attached in Appendix A.

TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR				
	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	
EBL	9.2	A	0.05	1.3	9.3	А	0.07	1.8	
EBR	8.4	A	0.01	0.0	8.4	А	0.01	0.0	
NBTR	0.0	A	0.00	0.0	0.0	A	0.01	0.0	
SBL	7.3	А	0.02	0.5	7.3	А	0.02	0.5	
INT Summary	7.3	A	0.05	-	7.0	А	0.07	-	

Table 16 Capacity Analysis: Existing Traffic

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road (Stop Control)

Table 17 Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	
EBL	12.8	В	0.12	3.2	22.6	С	0.27	8.8	
EBR	10.5	В	0.22	7.2	13.0	В	0.38	14.4	
NBTR	0.0	A	0.17	0.0	0.0	A	0.42	0.0	
SBL	7.9	А	0.03	0.8	9.3	A	0.04	0.8	
INT Summary	3.9	A	0.22	-	4.0	A	0.42	-	

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road (Stop Control)

Table 18 Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic

Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp/ George Biggy Sr Road (Stop Control)

TRAFFIC	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	
EBL	13.4	В	0.14	4.0	25.1	D	0.34	11.2	
EBR	10.5	В	0.23	7.2	13.0	В	0.38	14.4	
NBTR	0.0	A	0.18	0.0	0.0	А	0.42	0.0	
SBL	8.0	A	0.04	0.8	9.3	А	0.05	1.6	
INT Summary	4.1	А	0.23	-	4.4	А	0.42	-	

The above capacity analyses show that all traffic movements at the Highway 1 EB Off-Ramp / George Biggy Sr Road intersection are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours up to the 20 year horizon. The existing lane configuration at this intersection with stop control is capable of accommodating the forecasted 20 year horizon post-development traffic volumes.

7.4.1.4 Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center West Access

It is anticipated that traffic signals will be warranted at the Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center West Access intersection when the proposed Resort Center is fully built out (10 to 15 years). The following lane configurations are recommended for this intersection:

- > Eastbound: one through lane and one auxiliary right turn lane.
- > Westbound: one auxiliary left turn lane and one through lane.
- > Northbound: one left turn lane and one auxiliary right turn lane.

The site intersection configurations for full build out are shown in Figure 9.



Sep 23, 2016 - 3:14pm P:\5215046-000 Quantur

The operational performance of all traffic movements at this intersection at the analysis horizons are summarized in Tables 19 to 20. The detailed Synchro outputs are attached in Appendix A.

Table 19 Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR				
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	
EBT	29.6	С	0.86	133.6	30.3	С	0.86	181.4	
EBR	3.2	A	0.17	8.6	4.5	А	0.36	20.1	
WBL	7.5	А	0.31	11.2	9.6	А	0.43	16.0	
WBT	10.2	В	0.55	76.2	13.3	В	0.71	124.3	
NBL	36.3	D	0.69	64.8	35.1	D	0.58	57.0	
NBR	7.3	A	0.27	12.5	7.6	А	0.27	13.2	
INT Summary	20.0	С	0.86	-	19.0	В	0.86	-	

Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre West Access (Signal Control)

Table 20 Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic

Three Sisters	Parkway/	Resort	Centre	West	Access	(Signal	Control))
---------------	----------	--------	--------	------	--------	---------	----------	---

TRAFFIC	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR			
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)
EBT	29.1	С	0.85	155.3	29.6	С	0.87	167.3
EBR	3.8	A	0.16	9.8	3.3	А	0.34	15.5
WBL	6.8	А	0.29	10.9	9.5	В	0.43	15.8
WBT	10.8	В	0.59	82.9	12.3	В	0.72	130.3
NBL	41.3	D	0.69	80.7	39.3	D	0.64	60.9
NBR	8.1	A	0.27	13.7	8.4	А	0.29	13.9
INT Summary	20.7	С	0.85	-	18.8	В	0.87	-

The above capacity analyses show that all traffic movements at the Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center West Access intersection are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours up to the 20 year horizon. The proposed lane configuration at this intersection is capable of accommodating the forecasted 20 year horizon post-development traffic volumes.

7.4.1.5 Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center East Access

It is anticipated that traffic signals will be warranted at the Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center East Access intersection when the proposed Resort Center is fully built out (10 to 15 years). The following lane configurations are recommended for this intersection:

- > Eastbound: one through lane and one auxiliary right turn lane.
- > Westbound: one auxiliary left turn lane and one through lane.
- > Northbound: one left turn lane and one auxiliary right turn lane.

The operational performance of all traffic movements at this intersection at the analysis horizons are summarized in Tables 21 to 22. The detailed Synchro outputs are attached in Appendix A.

Table 21 Capacity Analysis: 2026 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS	AM PEAK HOUR				PM PEAK HOUR				
	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	
EBT	24.6	С	0.84	135.2	31.7	С	0.86	174.8	
EBR	3.4	A	0.06	4.9	4.5	A	0.19	12.6	
WBL	5.6	A	0.31	9.4	25.5	С	0.75	71.1	
WBT	8.0	A	0.54	61.6	9.9	A	0.67	111.7	
NBL	29.7	С	0.33	29.0	36.6	D	0.41	33.5	
NBR	8.2	A	0.50	18.7	9.7	A	0.57	20.6	
INT Summary	15.6	В	0.84	-	19.3	В	0.86	-	

Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre East Access (Signal Control)
Table 22 Capacity Analysis: 2036 Post-development Traffic

TRAFFIC		AM PEA	K HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR					
MOVEMENTS	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)	Delay (S)	LOS	V/C	95 th Queue Length (m)		
EBT	26.6	С	0.86	170.4	32.1	С	0.88	181.9		
EBR	3.8	А	0.06	5.6	4.2	А	0.19	12.0		
WBL	6.6	A	0.35	11.4	32.4	С	0.80	84.0		
WBT	8.9	A	0.57	82.5	10.6	В	0.70	125.1		
NBL	32.7	С	0.34	32.5	37.0	D	0.42	33.8		
NBR	8.6	A	0.51	19.6	9.8	А	0.58	20.8		
INT Summary	17.0	В	0.86	-	20.6	С	088	-		

Three Sisters Parkway/ Resort Centre East Access (Signal Control)

The above capacity analyses show that all traffic movements at the Three Sisters Parkway / Resort Center East Access intersection are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS during the AM and PM peak hours up to the 20 year horizon. The proposed lane configuration at this intersection is capable of accommodating the forecasted 20 year horizon post-development traffic volumes. Highway 1 Eastbound Off-Ramp / Three Sisters Boulevard

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the Smith Creek ASP area features a mixed land use concept and vibrant community elements and, as such, has potential to both support and benefit from a complete streets philosophy of transportation planning and design.

Consistent with the Town's Integrated Transportation Plan, it is recommended that walking, cycling, and public transit be significantly integrated into this community and form the backbone of the mobility system. These modes of transportation move people more efficiently from a spatial and cost perspective, achieve several common public policy objectives like public health promotion and equity in the provision of a mobility system, and allow the creation of much more interesting and diverse public spaces. The integration of these modes also permits more compact intersection design and narrower streets. The recommendations for each mode are summarized below.

8.1 Walking

- > Streets should have sidewalks on both sides of the street, where appropriate
- Sidewalks should be a minimum width of 1.5 2 m
- > Sidewalks should be wider on streets with higher intensity adjacent land uses
- > Provision of curb ramps and universal access principles should influence all sidewalk design
- Intersections under signal control should include pedestrian crossings and phasing. Pedestrian crossing provisions should be incorporated on the road network throughout the development, as appropriate for road function and adjacent land uses.

8.2 Cycling

- Arterial and Liveable (collector) streets should have dedicated cycling facilities like painted lanes or cycle tracks for example
- Cycling facilities should be designed in accordance with current best practice guidelines (including the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 18 Cycling Facilities, NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide and MassDOT Separated Bike Lane Planning and Design Guide), taking into consideration traffic demands, cycle volumes and road environment.
- Streets with higher volumes should have a physical separation buffer between the dedicated cycling facility and the moving vehicles and a buffer with an on-street parking lane if it exists.

8.3 Riding Transit

- The street network should be planned to be as direct as possible, minimizing confusion and trip time for transit riders.
- Development should be the most intense along transit corridors, both increasing ridership and minimizing vehicle transportation impacts through the transit use.
- The streets surrounding the transit corridor should be walkable with relatively small block sizes and provision of sidewalks on both sides of the street.
- The public realm of transit corridors should be of good quality, encouraging walking and the use of transit.

8.4 Driving

The recommendations for the driving mode of transportation primarily involve the below intersection control devices and lane configurations. Following a complete streets philosophy, lane widths should be minimized and should be large enough to accommodate transit buses and no wider – recommended to be no wider than 3.5 m. Streets that will not accommodate transit service should have a lane width of 3.3 m.

Highway 1 and Three Sisters Boulevard

> Traffic signals at both eastbound and westbound ramp intersections

> Existing lane configurations will allow reasonable traffic operations.

Highway 1 and George Biggy Sr Road

- > Stop control device at the eastbound ramp intersection
- > Existing lane configuration will allow reasonable traffic operations.

Resort Centre West Access

- Traffic signals
- Eastbound one through lane and one right turn lane
- > Westbound one through lane and one left turn lane
- > Northbound one left turn lane and one right turn lane.

Resort Centre East Access

- Traffic signals
- > Eastbound one through lane and one right turn lane
- > Westbound one through lane and one left turn lane
- Northbound one left turn lane and one right turn lane.

8.5 Classifications

The main street of this ASP, the Three Sisters Parkway, should be classified as a Local Arterial Street. An indicative cross section can be seen in Appendix B.

Local Arterial Streets generally feature sidewalks, on-street cycling facilities, one lane in each direction and accommodate primary transit.

9.0 CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION

This document entitled "TSMV Resort Centre Transportation Master Plan and Transportation Impact Assessment" was prepared by MMM Group Limited (MMM), a WSP Company, for the account of Three Sisters Mountain Village Properties Ltd. and QuantumPlace Developments Ltd. The material in this report reflects MMM's best judgment in light of the information available to them at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties, with the sole exception of the Town of Canmore. MMM accepts no responsibilities for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER

CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION

Analysis and Report Preparation by: Mark Stout, P.Eng

Report Review by:

Matt Luik, P.Eng, PMP, LEED AP ND Richard Lane, P.Eng

TSMV Transportation Master Plan and Transportation Impact Assessment MMM Group Limited | October 2016 | 5216016-000

Int Delay, s/veh 3 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations đ ۴ ₽ đ 152 169 Traffic Vol, veh/h 9 0 0 0 0 80 5 0 1 124 Future Vol, veh/h 9 1 152 0 0 0 0 169 80 5 124 0 0 0 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free Free Free Free Free Free **RT** Channelized Yield None Yield None _ --_ ----Storage Length 300 --_ ---_ _ _ _ _ Veh in Median Storage, # 0 0 -0 -0 _ -----Grade, % 0 0 0 0 -------_ Peak Hour Factor 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 Mvmt Flow 10 1 165 0 0 0 0 184 87 5 135 0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	330	330	135	-	0	0	184	0	0
Stage 1	146	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	665	589	914	0	-	-	1391	-	0
Stage 1	881	776	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	848	747	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	662	0	914	-	-	-	1391	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	662	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	877	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	848	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.8			0			0.3		
HCM LOS	А								

winor Lane/wajor wwmt	INBT	INBR EBLUT	EBLUZ	SBL	SBI	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 662	914	1391	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.016	0.181	0.004	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.5	9.8	7.6	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	Α	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	0.7	0	-	

ntersection	
100100001011	

Int Delay, s/veh 8.4 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR **♣** 1 **4** 22 Lane Configurations Þ 21 Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 0 108 11 0 0 7 0 156 Future Vol, veh/h 0 0 0 108 1 11 156 22 0 0 21 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free Free Free Free Free Free **RT** Channelized None None None None ---_ _ -_ -Storage Length -_ _ _ --_ _ _ _ _ _ Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 -0 -0 _ ----Grade, % 0 0 0 0 _ -----_ _ 92 92 92 92 92 92 Peak Hour Factor 92 92 92 92 92 92 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 2 2 Mvmt Flow 0 0 0 117 1 12 170 24 0 0 23 8

Major/Minor				N	/linor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All					390	393	24	30	0	-	-	-	0
Stage 1					363	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2					27	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy					6.42	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1					5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy					3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					614	543	1052	1583	-	0	0	-	-
Stage 1					704	625	-	-	-	0	0	-	-
Stage 2					996	870	-	-	-	0	0	-	-
Platoon blocked, %									-			-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					547	0	1052	1583	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver					547	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1					627	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2					996	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach					WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s					13.1			6.6			0		
HCM LOS					В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBTW	BLn1	SBT	SBR								
Capacity (veh/h)	1583	-	572	-	-								
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.107	- ().228	-	-								
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0	13.1	-	-								
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	-	-								
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	-	0.9	-	-								

Int Delay, s/veh	7.3												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			÷	1					ef -			र्च	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		44	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	6	29	5	0
Future Vol, veh/h		44	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	6	29	5	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length		-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	‡	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow		48	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	7	32	5	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	73	77	5	-	0	0	9	0	0
Stage 1	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	5	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	931	813	1078	0	-	-	1611	-	0
Stage 1	955	838	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	1018	888	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	912	0	1078	-	-	-	1611	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	912	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	936	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1018	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1			0			6.2		
HCM LOS	A								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR EBLn1	EBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 912	1078	1611	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.052	0.008	0.02	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.2	8.4	7.3	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	А	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	0.1	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	4.2												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			÷	1					el 👘			्र	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		29	1	283	0	0	0	0	218	170	4	143	0
Future Vol, veh/h		29	1	283	0	0	0	0	218	170	4	143	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	Yield	-	-	None
Storage Length		-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow		32	1	308	0	0	0	0	237	185	4	155	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	401	401	155	-	0	0	237	0	0
Stage 1	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	605	538	891	0	-	-	1330	-	0
Stage 1	865	762	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	802	709	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	603	0	891	-	-	-	1330	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	603	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	862	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	802	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.2			0			0.2		
HCM LOS	В								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR EBLn1	EBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 603	891	1330	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.054	0.345	0.003	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 11.3	11.2	7.7	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	В	Α	Α	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	1.5	0	-	

	· · · · · · · · ·	
Inter	section	
	00001011	

Int Delay, s/veh 9.5 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR **♣** 1 Lane Configurations đ ₽ 12 Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 0 136 2 197 0 0 0 50 1 Future Vol, veh/h 0 0 0 136 1 2 197 50 0 0 12 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free Free Free Free Free Free **RT** Channelized None None None None ---_ _ -_ -Storage Length -_ _ _ _ -_ _ _ _ _ _ Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 -0 -0 _ ----Grade, % 0 0 0 0 _ -----_ _ 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 Peak Hour Factor 92 92 92 92 92 2 2 2 2 2 2 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 Mvmt Flow 0 0 0 148 1 2 214 54 0 0 13 1

Major/Minor			Ν	/linor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All				497	497	54	14	0	-	-	-	0
Stage 1				483	483	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2				14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy				6.42	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1				5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2				5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy				3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver				532	475	1013	1604	-	0	0	-	-
Stage 1				620	553	-	-	-	0	0	-	-
Stage 2				1009	884	-	-	-	0	0	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-			-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver				459	0	1013	1604	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver				459	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1				534	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2				1009	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach				WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s				16.5			6.1			0		
HCM LOS				С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBTWBLn1	SBT	SBR								
Capacity (veh/h)	1604	- 463	-	-								
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.133	- 0.326	-	-								
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	0 16.5	-	-								
HCM Lane LOS	А	A C	-	-								

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.5

1.4

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	7

· · ·) , · · · ·												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ا	1					ef -			र्च	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	56	0	8	0	0	0	0	13	6	29	3	0
Future Vol, veh/h	56	0	8	0	0	0	0	13	6	29	3	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	61	0	9	0	0	0	0	14	7	32	3	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	83	87	3	-	0	0	21	0	0
Stage 1	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	17	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	919	803	1081	0	-	-	1595	-	0
Stage 1	957	840	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	1006	878	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	901	0	1081	-	-	-	1595	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	901	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	938	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	1006	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.2			0			6.6		
HCM LOS	А								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR EBLn1	EBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 901	1081	1595	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.068	0.008	0.02	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 9.3	8.4	7.3	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- A	Α	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	0.1	-	

2026 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	•	+	*	1	1	۲	1	ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ដ	1					•	1		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	1	289	0	0	0	0	406	255	6	243	0
Future Volume (vph)	11	1	289	0	0	0	0	406	255	6	243	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	0.0		30.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		50.0	0.0		0.0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	0		1	0		0
Taper Length (m)	30.0			30.0			30.0			30.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected		0.956									0.999	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1801	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1882	0
Flt Permitted		0.956									0.990	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1801	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1865	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			314						277			
Link Speed (k/h)		60			60			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		540.9			568.2			284.3			200.9	
Travel Time (s)		32.5			34.1			17.1			12.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	12	1	314	0	0	0	0	441	277	7	264	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	13	314	0	0	0	0	441	277	0	271	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4						2	6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0					5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0					24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0	28.0					42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	
Total Split (%)	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%					60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	22.0	22.0	22.0					36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0	6.0					6.0	6.0		6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					None	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0					7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0					11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Act Effct Green (s)		8.0	8.0					50.0	50.0		50.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.11	0.11					0.71	0.71		0.71	
v/c Ratio		0.06	0.68					0.33	0.23		0.20	
Control Delay		25.8	11.8					5.1	1.2		1.5	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		25.8	11.8					5.1	1.2		1.5	

WSP Canada Inc.

James Sun 10/18/2016

2026 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	-	•	٩.	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
LOS		С	В					А	А		А	
Approach Delay		12.3						3.6			1.5	
Approach LOS		В						Α			А	
Queue Length 50th (m)		1.7	0.0					15.3	0.0		0.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)		5.7	19.3					41.4	7.4		2.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		516.9			544.2			260.3			176.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)			30.0						50.0			
Base Capacity (vph)		566	718					1345	1222		1332	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.02	0.44					0.33	0.23		0.20	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: O	ther											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 6:SE	BTL, Sta	rt of Gree	en, Maste	r Intersec	tion						
Natural Cycle: 50												
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	linated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5.3				In	tersection	ILOS: A						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 48.1%			IC	U Level c	of Service	A					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 1: Three	e Sisters Blv	/d. & Hv	/y 1 EB C)ff-Ramp/	'Hwy 1 EE	3 On-Ram	0					
†							<u>A</u>					

Ø2					
42 s		28 s			
▼Ø6 (R)					
42 s					

2026 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					£.,			្ន			1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	223	1	14	390	28	0	0	26	9
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	223	1	14	390	28	0	0	26	9
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt					0.992						0.964	
Flt Protected					0.955			0.955				
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	1784	0	0	1799	0	0	1816	0
Flt Permitted					0.955			0.712				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	1784	0	0	1341	0	0	1816	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					4						10	
Link Speed (k/h)		50			60			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		528.1			501.5			200.9			268.2	
Travel Time (s)		38.0			30.1			12.1			16.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	0	0	0	242	1	15	424	30	0	0	28	10
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	258	0	0	454	0	0	38	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		Perm	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8			2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Detector Phase				8	8		2	2			6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0			15.0	
Minimum Split (s)				24.0	24.0		24.0	24.0			24.0	
Total Split (s)				26.0	26.0		44.0	44.0			44.0	
Total Split (%)				37.1%	37.1%		62.9%	62.9%			62.9%	
Maximum Green (s)				20.0	20.0		38.0	38.0			38.0	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0			4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0			2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)				3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	
Recall Mode				C-Max	C-Max		None	None			None	
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)				11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0			11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				0	0		0	0			0	
Act Effct Green (s)					28.3			29.7			29.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.40			0.42			0.42	
v/c Ratio					0.36			0.80			0.05	
Control Delay					18.5			23.5			7.3	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					18.5			23.5			7.3	
LOS					В			С			А	
Approach Delay					18.5			23.5			7.3	
Approach LOS					В			С			А	

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

2026 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	←	•	•	1	1	1	Ļ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Queue Length 50th (m)					24.2			51.7			2.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)					50.2			71.1			5.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)		504.1			477.5			176.9			244.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					722			727			990	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.36			0.62			0.04	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 50 (71%), Reference	ed to phase	8:WBTL,	Start of G	Green								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	ordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80												
Intersection Signal Delay: 2	20.9			lr	ntersectior	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 53.0%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	А					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Calife and Dhases Or Th		بارة امتاد			.//							

Splits and Phases:	2: Three Sisters Bivd. & Hwy T WB On-Ramp/Hwy T W	AR OII-RS	amp		
1 ø2					
44 s					
↓ Ø6			•	Ø8 (R)	
44 s			26 :	S	

	-	\rightarrow	4	-	-	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4	1	5	•	5	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	694	46	108	576	101	232
Future Volume (vph)	694	46	108	576	101	232
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1000	60.0	80.0	.000	0.0	0.0
Storage Lanes		1	1		0.0	1
Taner Length (m)		1	30.0		30.0	I
Lane I Itil Factor	1 00	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00
Edite Util. I dolui	1.00	0.850	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.850
FIL Elt Drotoctod		0.000	0.050			0.000
Fit FIOLECLEU	4000	1004	0.950	1000	0.950	1004
Satu. Flow (prot)	1883	1001	1/89	1883	1/89	1001
Fit Permitted	1000	1001	0.179	1000	0.950	1001
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	337	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		49				252
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	1174.5			1335.6	297.9	
Travel Time (s)	70.5			80.1	21.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	754	50	117	626	110	252
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	754	50	117	626	110	252
Turn Type	NΔ	Perm	nm+nt	NΔ	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	2		1	6	2	
Permitted Dhases	2	C	6	0	0	Q
Detector Phases	0	2	1	6	0	0
Delector Pridse	2	2	1	Ö	ð	ð
Switch Phase	45.0	45.0	0.0	45.0	40.0	40.0
Iviinimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	8.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	12.0	24.0	23.5	23.5
Total Split (s)	34.5	34.5	12.0	46.5	23.5	23.5
Total Split (%)	49.3%	49.3%	17.1%	66.4%	33.6%	33.6%
Maximum Green (s)	28.5	28.5	8.0	40.5	18.0	18.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag	l an	l an	Lead	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lead Lag Ontimize?	Vac	Vac	Vac			
Vehicle Extension (a)	165	20	20	2.0	20	2.0
Venicle Extension (S)	3.U	3.U	3.0	3.U	3.0	3.U
	C-IVIIn	C-Min	None	C-Min	None	INONE
vvalk lime (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	37.9	37.9	49.6	47.6	10.9	10.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.54	0.54	0.71	0.68	0.16	0.16
v/c Ratio	0.74	0.06	0.29	0.49	0.40	0.55
Control Delay	7.5	0.2	5.3	7.2	30.8	8.8
Queue Delav	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	7.5	0.2	5.3	7.2	30.8	8.8

	-	\mathbf{F}	¥	+	1	1	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
LOS	А	А	А	А	С	А	
Approach Delay	7.0			6.9	15.5		
Approach LOS	А			А	В		
Queue Length 50th (m)	7.1	0.0	3.8	32.3	14.1	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m#145.1	m0.2	9.5	62.0	26.9	17.7	
Internal Link Dist (m)	1150.5			1311.6	273.9		
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	1019	889	407	1280	460	598	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.74	0.06	0.29	0.49	0.24	0.42	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Cycle Length: 70							
Actuated Cycle Length: 70							
Offset: 60 (86%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBT an	d 6:WB	FL, Start o	f Green		
Natural Cycle: 75							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74							
Intersection Signal Delay:	8.6			In	tersectior	LOS: A	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 64.4%			IC	CU Level o	of Service (C
Analysis Period (min) 15							
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	oacity, qu	eue may	be longer			
Queue shown is maxim	um after two	cycles.					
m Volume for 95th perce	ntile queue is	s metered	by upst	ream sign	al.		
Splits and Phases: 4: Re	esort Center	East Acce	ess & Th	ree Sister	s Pkwy		

√ Ø1	₩ 2 (R)	
12 s	34.5 s	
Ø6 (R)		1 ÿ8
46.5 s		23.5 s

	-	\rightarrow	-	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	1	*	*	*	1
Traffic Volume (vnh)	632	116	101	576	248	108
Future Volume (vph)	632	116	101	576	240	108
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1002	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1900	60.0	80.0	1900	0.0	0.0
Storage Lange		1	1		0.0	0.0
Tapor Longth (m)		I	30 0		20.0	I
Lape Lengin (III)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
FIL FIL Desta sta d		0.850	0.050		0.050	0.850
Fit Protected	1000	4004	0.950	1000	0.950	4004
Satd. Flow (prot)	1883	1601	1789	1883	1789	1601
FIt Permitted			0.155		0.950	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	292	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		126				117
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	347.3			1174.5	397.6	
Travel Time (s)	20.8			70.5	28.6	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0 92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (yph)	697	126	110	626	270	117
Shared Lane Troffic (0/)	007	120	110	020	210	117
Shared Lane Trailic (%)	607	100	110	606	070	447
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	687	120	110	626	270	117
Turn Type	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	2		1	6	8	
Permitted Phases		2	6			8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	8.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	12.5	24.0	23.5	23.5
Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	12.5	46.5	23.5	23.5
Total Split (%)	48.6%	48.6%	17.9%	66.4%	33.6%	33.6%
Maximum Green (s)	28.0	28.0	8 5	40.5	18.0	18.0
Yellow Time (e)	10	10	3.0	10.5	35	3.5
	2.0	4.0 2.0	1.0	4.0 2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (S)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min	Min	C-Max	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effet Green (s)	31 4	31.4	45.5	435	15.0	15.0
Actuated a/C Patio	0.46	0.45	-J.J 0 66	-10.0 0 60	0.01	0.01
Notualeu y/O Nallu	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.21	0.21
	0.01	0.10	0.30	0.54	0.71	0.27
Control Delay	28.2	3.5	5.2	8.0	35.6	6.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	28.2	3.5	5.2	8.0	35.6	6.4

	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
LOS	С	А	Α	А	D	А
Approach Delay	24.4			7.6	26.8	
Approach LOS	С			А	С	
Queue Length 50th (m)	79.9	0.0	2.8	44.3	34.1	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	#152.5	9.1	5.3	53.2	55.8	11.4
Internal Link Dist (m)	323.3			1150.5	373.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	845	788	371	1170	460	498
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.81	0.16	0.30	0.54	0.59	0.23
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 70						
Actuated Cycle Length: 70						
Offset: 58 (83%), Reference	ed to phase 2	2:EBT an	d 6:WB1	FL, Start o	f Green	
Natural Cycle: 70						
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81						
Intersection Signal Delay: 7	18.5			In	tersection	LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 66.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service (
Analysis Period (min) 15						
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longer		
Queue shown is maxim	um after two	cycles.				
	_					

Splits and Phases:	5: Resort Center West Access & Three Sisters Pkwy	

Ø1	- → Ø2 (R)		
12.5 s	34 s		
✓ Ø6 (R)	,	1 08	
46.5 s		23.5 s	

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			÷	1					el 👘			र्च	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		55	0	173	0	0	0	0	114	157	36	184	0
Future Vol, veh/h		55	0	173	0	0	0	0	114	157	36	184	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length		-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #		-	0	-	-	16979	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow		60	0	188	0	0	0	0	124	171	39	200	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	487	573	200	-	0	0	295	0	0
Stage 1	278	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	209	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	540	430	841	0	-	-	1266	-	0
Stage 1	769	680	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	826	669	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	521	0	841	-	-	-	1266	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	521	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	742	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	826	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.1			0			1.3		
HCM LOS	В								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR EBLn1	EBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 521	841	1266	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.115	0.224	0.031	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 12.8	10.5	7.9	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	В	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.4	0.9	0.1	-	

2026 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	*	1	1	۲	1	ţ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1					•	1		स	
Traffic Volume (vph)	36	1	570	0	0	0	0	422	340	5	355	0
Future Volume (vph)	36	1	570	0	0	0	0	422	340	5	355	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	0.0		30.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		50.0	0.0		0.0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	0		1	0		0
Taper Length (m)	30.0			30.0			30.0			30.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected		0.954									0.999	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1797	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1882	0
Flt Permitted		0.954									0.995	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1797	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1874	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			326						370			
Link Speed (k/h)		60			50			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		540.9			568.2			284.3			200.9	
Travel Time (s)		32.5			40.9			17.1			12.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	39	1	620	0	0	0	0	459	370	5	386	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	40	620	0	0	0	0	459	370	0	391	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4						2	6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	16.0					21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	
Total Split (s)	35.0	35.0	35.0					35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%					50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	29.0	29.0	29.0					29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0	6.0					6.0	6.0		6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					Max	Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		20.8	20.8					37.2	37.2		37.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30					0.53	0.53		0.53	
V/C Ratio		0.07	0.88					0.46	0.36		0.39	
Control Delay		14.6	24.7					14.1	2.8		5.3	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
l otal Delay		14.6	24.7					14.1	2.8		5.3	
LUS Annual a b Dali		В	C					B	A		A	
Approach Delay		24.1						9.1			5.3	
Approach LUS		C						A			A	

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

2026 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
90th %ile Green (s)	29.0	29.0	29.0					29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	27.0	27.0	27.0					31.0	31.0	31.0	31.0	
70th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	21.6	21.6	21.6					36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	16.4	16.4	16.4					41.6	41.6	41.6	41.6	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					48.0	48.0	48.0	48.0	
10th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 50th (m)		3.9	38.3					37.3	0.0		1.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		8.6	70.8					75.6	14.5		67.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)		516.9			544.2			260.3			176.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)			30.0						50.0			
Base Capacity (vph)		744	854					1000	1024		995	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.05	0.73					0.46	0.36		0.39	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 6:	SBTL, Sta	art of Gree	en, Maste	er Interseo	ction						
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	3.6			In	tersectior	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 64.3%			IC	CU Level	of Service	С					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 1: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 EB Off-Ramp/Hwy 1 EB On-Ramp



2026 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	-	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4			្ន			1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	346	1	3	396	63	0	0	15	1
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	346	1	3	396	63	0	0	15	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt					0.999						0.992	
Flt Protected					0.953			0.959				
Satd, Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	1793	0	0	1806	0	0	1868	0
Flt Permitted	-	-	-	-	0.953	-	-	0.743	-	-		-
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	1793	0	0	1399	0	0	1868	0
Right Turn on Red	-	-	Yes			Yes			Yes	-		Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)					1						1	
Link Speed (k/h)		50			60			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		528.1			501.5			200.9			268.2	
Travel Time (s)		38.0			30.1			12.1			16.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	0	0	0	376	1	3	430	68	0	0	16	1
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	•	Ū	·		·	•			Ū	Ū		·
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	380	0	0	498	0	0	17	0
Turn Type	•	·	·	Perm	NA	·	Perm	NA	Ū	Ū	NA	Ū
Protected Phases					8			2			6	
Permitted Phases				8	Ũ		2	-			Ŭ	
Detector Phase				8	8		2	2			6	
Switch Phase				Ŭ	Ũ		-	-			Ŭ	
Minimum Initial (s)				15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0			15.0	
Minimum Split (s)				24.0	24.0		24.0	24.0			24.0	
Total Split (s)				29.0	29.0		41.0	41.0			41.0	
Total Split (%)				41.4%	41.4%		58.6%	58.6%			58.6%	
Maximum Green (s)				23.0	23.0		35.0	35.0			35.0	
Yellow Time (s)				4 0	4.0		4 0	4 0			4 0	
All-Red Time (s)				2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0			2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				2.0	0.0		2.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)				30	30		30	30			30	
Recall Mode				C-Max	C-Max		None	None			None	
Walk Time (s)				7 0	7.0		7 0	7.0			7 0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)				11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0			11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				0	0		0	0			0	
Act Effct Green (s)				Ū	28.4		Ŭ	29.6			29.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0 41			0.42			0.42	
v/c Ratio					0.52			0.84			0.02	
Control Delay					20.6			22.4			91	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					20.6			22.4			9.0	
LOS					 C			C			A	
Approach Delay					20.6			22.4			91	
Approach LOS					C			С			A	

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

2026 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
90th %ile Green (s)				23.0	23.0		35.0	35.0			35.0	
90th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Max	Max			Hold	
70th %ile Green (s)				23.8	23.8		34.2	34.2			34.2	
70th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
50th %ile Green (s)				26.9	26.9		31.1	31.1			31.1	
50th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
30th %ile Green (s)				31.0	31.0		27.0	27.0			27.0	
30th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
10th %ile Green (s)				37.4	37.4		20.6	20.6			20.6	
10th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
Queue Length 50th (m)					39.7			59.2			1.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)					72.1			99.6			3.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)		504.1			477.5			176.9			244.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					728			699			934	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.52			0.71			0.02	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: Otl	her											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 51 (73%), Referenced t	o phase	8:WBTL,	Start of (Green								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordi	nated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84												
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.4				lr	ntersectior	LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n 61.3%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	В					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 2: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 WB On-Ramp/Hwy 1 WB Off-Ramp



	-	\rightarrow	-	-	-	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	1	5	*	5	1
Traffic Volume (voh)	661	137	323	803	105	250
Future Volume (vph)	661	137	323	803	105	250
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1500	60.0	80.0	1500	0.0	0.0
Storage Lange		00.0	00.0		0.0	0.0
Taper Length (m)		1	30.0		30.0	1
Lano Litil Eastor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
	1.00	0.950	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.950
FIL FIL Desta sta d		0.850	0.050		0.050	0.850
Fit Protected	4000	4004	0.950	4000	0.950	4004
Satd. Flow (prot)	1883	1601	1/89	1883	1/89	1601
Fit Permitted			0.124		0.950	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	234	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		125				272
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	1174.5			1335.6	297.9	
Travel Time (s)	70.5			80.1	21.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	718	149	351	873	114	272
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	, 10		001	010	117	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	718	140	351	873	11/	272
		Dorm	nm±nt		Drot	Dorm
Protocted Dheses	INA 0	Feilii	pm+pt	INA C	FIUL	Feilii
Protected Phases	Z	0	1	Ö	Ö	0
Permitted Phases	0	2	6	0	0	8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	8.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	21.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (s)	45.0	45.0	21.0	66.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%	23.3%	73.3%	26.7%	26.7%
Maximum Green (s)	39.0	39.0	17.0	60.0	18.5	18.5
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	0.0 6.0	1.0	6.0	5.5	5.5
	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leau/Lay	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0	0.0	0.0
venicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	Min	Min	None	Min	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	33.3	33.3	53.8	51.7	11.6	11.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.44	0.44	0.72	0.69	0.15	0.15
v/c Ratio	0.86	0.19	0.75	0.67	0.41	0.57
Control Delay	31.7	4 5	25.5	99	36.6	97
Oueue Delay	0.0	4.0 0 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	31.7	0.0 4 5	25.5	0.0 Q Q	36.6	Q 7

	→	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
LOS	 C	Α	C	A	D	A
Approach Delay	27.0		· ·	14.3	17.7	
Approach LOS	C			В	В	
90th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	17.0	60.0	14.8	14.8
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap
70th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	17.0	60.0	12.2	12.2
70th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap
50th %ile Green (s)	37.4	37.4	16.7	58.1	10.5	10.5
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap	Hold	Gap	Gap
30th %ile Green (s)	30.7	30.7	13.1	47.8	10.0	10.0
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap	Hold	Min	Min
10th %ile Green (s)	21.7	21.7	9.0	34.7	10.0	10.0
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap	Hold	Min	Min
Queue Length 50th (m)	94.7	2.0	27.6	58.1	17.2	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	#174.8	12.6	#71.1	111.7	33.5	20.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	1150.5			1311.6	273.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	1002	910	528	1516	451	607
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.72	0.16	0.66	0.58	0.25	0.45
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	5.1					
Natural Cycle: 80						
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86						
Intersection Signal Delay:	19.3			lr	ntersectior	n LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 73.9%			IC	CU Level o	of Service I
Analysis Period (min) 15						
90th %ile Actuated Cycle:	86.3					
70th %ile Actuated Cycle:	83.7					
50th %ile Actuated Cycle:	80.1					
30th %ile Actuated Cycle:	69.3					
10th %ile Actuated Cycle:	56.2					
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longer	ſ.	
Queue shown is maxim	num after two	cycles.				
Colite and Dhases: 4: D	agart Cantor I	East Ass	000 Ø Th	raa Ciatar	o Dlava	
Splits and Phases: 4: R	eson center l	Ξasι Acc	ess & in	iee Sister	SPKWY	



	-	\rightarrow	-	-	-	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	1	3	•	3	1
Traffic Volume (vnh)	689	288	131	777	204	108
Future Volume (vph)	689	288	131	777	204	108
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1300	60.0	80.0	1900	0.0	0.0
Storage Lanes		1	1		0.0	0.0
Tapor Longth (m)		I	30 0		30.0	I
Laper Length (m)	1.00	1.00	30.0	1.00	30.0	1.00
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
FIL FIL Desta stard		0.850	0.050		0.050	0.850
	4000	1001	0.950	4000	0.950	1001
Satd. Flow (prot)	1883	1601	1789	1883	1789	1601
FIt Permitted			0.119		0.950	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	224	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		251				117
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	347.3			1174.5	397.6	
Travel Time (s)	20.8			70.5	28.6	
Peak Hour Factor	0 92	0 92	0 92	0.0	0 92	0 92
	7/0	312	1/2	0.9Z Q/F	0.92	117
Auj. Flow (vpil) Sharod Lano Troffic (0/)	749	313	142	040	222	117
Shared Lane Trailic (%)	740	242	140	045	000	447
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	749	313	142	845	222	117
Iurn Iype	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	2		1	6	8	
Permitted Phases		2	6			8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	21.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (s)	45.0	45.0	21.0	66.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%	23.3%	73.3%	26.7%	26.7%
Maximum Green (s)	39.0	39.0	17 0	60.0	18 5	18 5
Vellow Time (s)	10	1.0	20	1.0	3.5	3 5
	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	0.0
All-Red Time (S)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
LOST TIME Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	Min	Min	None	Min	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effet Green (c)	25.1	25.1	50.0	180	16.2	16.2
Actuated a/C Datia	30.1	0.46	0.00	40.0	10.2	10.2
Actualed g/C Ratio	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.03	0.21	0.21
V/C Ratio	0.86	0.36	0.43	0.71	0.58	0.27
Control Delay	30.3	4.5	9.6	13.3	35.1	7.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	30.3	4.5	9.6	13.3	35.1	7.6

	→	\mathbf{r}	•	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
LOS	C	A	A	B	D	A
Approach Delay	22.7			12.8	25.6	
Approach LOS	С			В	С	
90th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	11.7	54.7	18.5	18.5
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Gap	Hold	Max	Max
70th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	8.8	51.8	17.2	17.2
70th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Gap	Hold	Gap	Gap
50th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	8.0	51.0	15.0	15.0
50th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Min	Hold	Min	Min
30th %ile Green (s)	32.9	32.9	8.0	44.9	15.0	15.0
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Min	Min
10th %ile Green (s)	26.4	26.4	8.0	38.4	15.0	15.0
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Min	Min
Queue Length 50th (m)	90.0	4.7	6.4	70.0	31.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	#181.4	20.4	16.0	124.3	57.0	13.2
Internal Link Dist (m)	323.3			1150.5	373.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	977	951	502	1503	440	482
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.77	0.33	0.28	0.56	0.50	0.24
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	5.8					
Natural Cycle: 90						
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86						
Intersection Signal Delay:	19.0			In	tersectior	LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 68.9%			IC	CU Level o	of Service (
Analysis Period (min) 15						
90th %ile Actuated Cycle:	84.7					
70th %ile Actuated Cycle:	80.5					
50th %ile Actuated Cycle:	77.5					
30th %ile Actuated Cycle:	71.4					
10th %ile Actuated Cycle:	64.9					
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longer		
Queue shown is maxin	num after two	cycles.				
Splits and Phases: 5: R	esort Center \	Vest Acc	ess & Th	ree Sister	rs Pkwv	



Int Delay, s/veh 4 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations đ ۴ ₽ đ 0 325 Traffic Vol, veh/h 70 255 0 0 0 0 339 36 290 0 Future Vol, veh/h 70 0 255 0 0 0 0 325 339 36 290 0 0 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free Free Free Free Free Free RT Channelized Yield None None None --------Storage Length 300 --_ -_ -_ _ _ _ _ - 16979 Veh in Median Storage, # -0 --0 -0 _ --Grade, % 0 0 0 0 -------_ Peak Hour Factor 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 Mvmt Flow 76 0 277 0 0 0 0 353 368 39 315 0

Major/Minor	Minor2					Ma	ajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	931	1115	315				-	0	0	722	0	0
Stage 1	393	393	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	538	722	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22				-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318				-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	296	208	725				0	-	-	880	-	0
Stage 1	682	606	-				0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	585	431	-				0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	280	0	725				-	-	-	880	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	280	0	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	645	0	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	585	0	-				-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB						NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	15.1						0			1		
HCM LOS	С											
Minor Long (Major Mumt	NDT			CDI	ODT							

2036 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	*	1	1	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1					•	1		र्च	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	2	327	0	0	0	0	449	275	8	274	0
Future Volume (vph)	14	2	327	0	0	0	0	449	275	8	274	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	0.0		40.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		50.0	0.0		0.0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	0		1	0		0
Taper Length (m)	30.0			30.0			30.0			30.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected		0.958									0.999	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1804	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1882	0
Flt Permitted		0.958									0.986	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1804	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1857	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			355						299			
Link Speed (k/h)		60			50			60			50	
Link Distance (m)		540.9			568.2			284.3			200.9	
Travel Time (s)		32.5			40.9			17.1			14.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	15	2	355	0	0	0	0	488	299	9	298	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	17	355	0	0	0	0	488	299	0	307	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4						2	6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0					24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0	28.0					42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	
Total Split (%)	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%					60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	22.0	22.0	22.0					36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0	6.0					6.0	6.0		6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					Min	Min	C-Max	C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0					7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0					11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Act Effct Green (s)		15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0		43.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.21	0.21					0.61	0.61		0.61	
v/c Ratio		0.04	0.57					0.42	0.27		0.27	
Control Delay		22.3	7.1					8.4	1.5		2.1	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Delay		22.3	7.1					8.4	1.5		2.1	

WSP Canada Inc.

James Sun 10/18/2016

2036 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	•	۸.	†	1	1	Ŧ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
LOS		С	А					А	А		А	
Approach Delay		7.8						5.8			2.1	
Approach LOS		А						А			Α	
90th %ile Green (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	
90th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	
70th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	
50th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	
30th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0					43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	
10th %ile Term Code	Min	Min	Min					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 50th (m)		1.9	0.0					30.8	0.0		2.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		6.6	19.9					49.1	8.0		2.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		516.9			544.2			260.3			176.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)			40.0						50.0			
Base Capacity (vph)		566	746					1156	1098		1140	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.03	0.48					0.42	0.27		0.27	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: 0	Other											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 6:	SBTL, Sta	irt of Gree	en, Maste	r Intersed	ction						
Natural Cycle: 50												
Control Type: Actuated-Coor	dinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5.5	5			In	tersectior	n LOS: A						
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 59.4%			IC	U Level	of Service	В					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 1: Three	o Sistore F	and & Hu)ff Dama		R On Pam	n					

Splits and Phases: 1: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 EB Off-Ramp/Hwy 1	1 EB On-Ramp
---	--------------

¶ø₂	↓ Ø4	
42 s	28 s	
▼Ø6 (R)		
42 s		

2036 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4			ۍ ۲			ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	250	2	17	429	33	0	0	32	11
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	250	2	17	429	33	0	0	32	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt					0.992						0.966	
Flt Protected					0.955			0.956				
Satd, Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	1784	0	0	1801	0	0	1819	0
Flt Permitted					0.955			0.707				
Satd, Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	1784	0	0	1332	0	0	1819	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)					5						12	
Link Speed (k/h)		50			60			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		528.1			501.5			200.9			268.2	
Travel Time (s)		38.0			30.1			12.1			16.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	0	0	0	272	2	18	466	36	0	0	35	12
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	292	0	0	502	0	0	47	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		Perm	NA			NA	
Protected Phases				-	8		-	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Detector Phase				8	8		2	2			6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0			10.0	
Minimum Split (s)				24.0	24.0		24.0	24.0			24.0	
Total Split (s)				26.0	26.0		44.0	44.0			44.0	
Total Split (%)				37.1%	37.1%		62.9%	62.9%			62.9%	
Maximum Green (s)				20.0	20.0		38.0	38.0			38.0	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0			4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0			2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)				3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	
Recall Mode				C-Max	C-Max		None	None			None	
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)				11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0			11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				0	0		0	0			0	
Act Effct Green (s)					26.2			31.8			31.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.37			0.45			0.45	
v/c Ratio					0.44			0.83			0.06	
Control Delay					20.7			21.4			6.9	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					20.7			21.4			6.9	
LOS					С			С			А	
Approach Delay					20.7			21.4			6.9	
Approach LOS					С			С			Α	

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

2036 Combined Traffic AM Peak

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
90th %ile Green (s)				20.0	20.0		38.0	38.0			38.0	
90th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Max	Max			Hold	
70th %ile Green (s)				21.2	21.2		36.8	36.8			36.8	
70th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
50th %ile Green (s)				24.6	24.6		33.4	33.4			33.4	
50th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
30th %ile Green (s)				29.1	29.1		28.9	28.9			28.9	
30th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
10th %ile Green (s)				36.2	36.2		21.8	21.8			21.8	
10th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
Queue Length 50th (m)					30.0			56.0			2.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)					57.3			83.9			6.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		504.1			477.5			176.9			244.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					671			723			992	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.44			0.69			0.05	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: Ot	her											
Cycle Length: 70												
Actuated Cycle Length: 70												
Offset: 54 (77%), Referenced t	to phase	8:WBTL,	Start of (Green								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordi	nated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83												
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.4				lr	ntersectior	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n 57.2%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	В					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 2: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 WB On-Ramp/Hwy 1 WB Off-Ramp



	-	\rightarrow	-	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	#	3	*	*	#
Traffic Volume (vnh)	748	46	108	619	101	232
Future Volume (vph)	748	46	108	619	101	232
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1000	60.0	80.0	1000	0.0	0.0
Storage Lanes		1	00.0		0.0	0.0
Taper Length (m)			30.0		30.0	
Lane I Itil Eactor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Ent	1.00	0.850	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.850
FIL FIt Drotootod		0.000	0.050		0.050	0.000
Fit Flotected	1000	1601	1700	1000	1700	1601
Salu. Flow (prot)	1003	1001	0 110	1003	1/09	1001
Fit Permitted	4000	4004	0.112	4000	0.950	4004
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	211	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		45				252
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	1174.5			1335.6	297.9	
Travel Time (s)	70.5			80.1	21.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	813	50	117	673	110	252
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	813	50	117	673	110	252
Turn Type	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	2		1	6	8	
Permitted Phases		2	6		-	8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase	-	-	•	Ū	Ū	Ū
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	8.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	12.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (s)	54.0	54.0	12.0	66.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (S)	04.0 60.0%	04.0 60.0%	12.0	00.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (%)	60.0%	60.0%	13.3%	13.3%	20.7%	20.7%
Maximum Green (s)	48.0	48.0	8.0	60.0	18.0	18.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	Min	Min	None	Min	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	5	5		5	5	5
Act Effet Green (s)	34.2	34.2	45.2	43.0	12 4	12.4
Actuated a/C Patio	0 50	0 50	0.66	0.63	0.18	0.18
v/o Patio	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.10
Control Dolov	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.07	20.34	0.01
	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	32.1	0.0
Total Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I OTAL DEIAV	26.6	3.8	6.6	8.9	32.1	8.6

	-	\mathbf{F}	¥	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
LOS	C	A	A	A	C	Α
Approach Delay	25.3			8.5	15.9	
Approach LOS	С			A	В	
90th %ile Green (s)	48.0	48.0	8.0	60.0	18.0	18.0
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Ped	Ped
70th %ile Green (s)	44.2	44.2	8.0	56.2	12.0	12.0
70th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap
50th %ile Green (s)	35.3	35.3	8.0	47.3	10.0	10.0
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Max	Hold	Min	Min
30th %ile Green (s)	29.7	29.7	8.0	41.7	10.0	10.0
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Max	Hold	Min	Min
10th %ile Green (s)	17.1	17.1	0.0	17.1	10.0	10.0
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Skip	Hold	Min	Min
Queue Length 50th (m)	89.2	0.3	3.9	37.3	13.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	170.4	5.6	11.4	82.5	32.5	19.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	1150.5			1311.6	273.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	1347	1158	339	1590	510	637
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.60	0.04	0.35	0.42	0.22	0.40
Intersection Summarv						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 68	3.5					
Natural Cycle: 80						
Control Type: Actuated-U	ncoordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86						
Intersection Signal Delay:	17.0			In	tersectior	LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 67.7%			IC	CU Level o	of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15						
90th %ile Actuated Cycle:	90					
70th %ile Actuated Cycle:	80.2					
50th %ile Actuated Cycle:	69.3					
30th %ile Actuated Cycle:	63.7					
10th %ile Actuated Cycle:	39.1					
Splits and Phases: 4: R	esort Center I	East Acce	ess & Th	ree Sister	s Pkwy	



	-	\rightarrow	•	-	1	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	#	*	*	*	#
Traffic Volume (voh)	686	116	101	619	248	108
Future Volume (vph)	886	116	101	610	240	108
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	100
Storage Length (m)	1900	60.0	80.0	1900	0.0	0.0
Storage Lanec		00.0	00.0		0.0	0.0
Tapor Longth (m)		I	30 0		20.0	I
Laper Lengui (III)	1.00	1.00	30.0	1.00	1.00	1.00
	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
FIL FIL Desta start		0.850	0.050		0.050	0.850
Fit Protected	4000	4004	0.950	4000	0.950	4004
Satd. Flow (prot)	1883	1601	1/89	1883	1/89	1601
Fit Permitted			0.125		0.950	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	235	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		112				117
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	347.3			1174.5	397.6	
Travel Time (s)	20.8			70.5	28.6	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	746	126	110	673	270	117
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	1-10	120	110	015	210	117
Lane Group Flow (uph)	7/6	126	110	672	270	117
	140	Dorm	110	073	Drot	Dorm
Protostad Dhasas	NA 0	Feilii	pm+pt	INA C	FIUL	Feilii
Protected Phases	Z	0	1	0	Ö	0
Permitted Phases	•	2	6	•	•	8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	15.0	15.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	16.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (s)	50.0	50.0	16.0	66.0	24.0	24.0
Total Split (%)	55.6%	55.6%	17.8%	73.3%	26.7%	26.7%
Maximum Green (s)	44.0	44.0	12.0	60.0	18.0	18.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	10	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (c)	6.0	6.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Leau-Lag Optimize?	res	res	res	0.0	0.0	0.0
venicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	Min	Min	None	Min	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0		0	0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	34.3	34.3	46.5	44.3	16.0	16.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.47	0.47	0.63	0.60	0.22	0.22
v/c Ratio	0.85	0.16	0.29	0.59	0.69	0.27
Control Delay	29.1	3.8	6.8	10.8	41.3	8.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	29.1	3.8	6.8	10.8	41.3	8.1
	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	1	1
-------------------------------------	----------------	--------------	----------	-----------	-------------	--------------
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
los	 C	Α	A	B	D	A
Approach Delav	25.4		,,	10.2	31.2	
Approach LOS	C			В	C	
90th %ile Green (s)	44.0	44.0	10.0	58.0	18.0	18.0
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Min	Hold	Max	Max
70th %ile Green (s)	44.0	44.0	10.0	58.0	18.0	18.0
70th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Min	Hold	Max	Max
50th %ile Green (s)	37.9	37.9	10.0	51.9	18.0	18.0
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Max	Max
30th %ile Green (s)	31.2	31.2	10.0	45.2	14.5	14.5
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Gap	Gap
10th %ile Green (s)	16.6	16.6	0.0	16.6	10.0	10.0
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Skip	Hold	Min	Min
Queue Length 50th (m)	104.4	1.2	5.8	55.9	40.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	155.3	9.8	10.9	82.9	#80.7	13.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	323.3			1150.5	373.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	. = • • •	60.0	80.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	1186	1050	427	1481	481	516
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.63	0.12	0.26	0.45	0.56	0.23
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73	3.6					
Natural Cycle: 80						
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85						
Intersection Signal Delay:	20.7			In	itersectior	LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 71.5%			IC	CU Level o	of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15						
90th %ile Actuated Cycle:	88					
70th %ile Actuated Cycle:	88					
50th %ile Actuated Cycle:	81.9					
30th %ile Actuated Cycle:	71.7					
10th %ile Actuated Cycle:	38.6					
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longer		
Queue shown is maxin	num after two	cycles.				
Splits and Phases: 5 [.] R	esort Center \	Nest Acc	ess & Th	ree Siste	rs Pkwv	



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/yeh	

Int Delay, s/veh	4.1												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			÷	1					et 👘			र्भ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		66	0	175	0	0	0	0	115	159	44	185	0
Future Vol, veh/h		66	0	175	0	0	0	0	115	159	44	185	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length		-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	Ŀ	-	0	-	-	16979	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow		72	0	190	0	0	0	0	125	173	48	201	0

Major/Minor	Minor2				М	ajor1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	508	595	201			-	0	0	298	0	0
Stage 1	297	297	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	211	298	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22			-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318			-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	525	417	840			0	-	-	1263	-	0
Stage 1	754	668	-			0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	824	667	-			0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %							-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	502	0	840			-	-	-	1263	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	502	0	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	722	0	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	824	0	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB					NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.3					0			1.5		
HCM LOS	В										
	NDT			ODT							

winor Lane/wajor wwmt	INBI	INBK EBLI	II EBLNZ	SBL	SBI	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 50)2 840	1263	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.14	3 0.226	0.038	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 13	.4 10.5	8	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	B B	Α	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0	.5 0.9	0.1	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s) HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.14 - 13 - - 0	2 040 3 0.226 .4 10.5 B B .5 0.9	0.038 8 A 0.1	0 A	

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 EB Off-Ramp/Hwy 1 EB On-Ramp

2036 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	٦	-	\mathbf{F}	1	+	*	1	1	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		្រា	1					•	1		្ន	
Traffic Volume (vph)	44	2	641	0	0	0	0	477	382	6	390	0
Future Volume (vph)	44	2	641	0	0	0	0	477	382	6	390	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	0.0		30.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		50.0	0.0		0.0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	0		1	0		0
Taper Length (m)	30.0			30.0			30.0			30.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected		0.954									0.999	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1797	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1882	0
Flt Permitted		0.954									0.991	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1797	1601	0	0	0	0	1883	1601	0	1866	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			237						410			
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		540.9			568.2			284.3			200.9	
Travel Time (s)		38.9			40.9			17.1			12.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	48	2	697	0	0	0	0	518	415	7	424	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	50	697	0	0	0	0	518	415	0	431	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4						2	6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0					24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	45.0	45.0	45.0					35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	56.3%	56.3%	56.3%					43.8%	43.8%	43.8%	43.8%	
Maximum Green (s)	39.0	39.0	39.0					29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0	6.0					6.0	6.0		6.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0					3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					Max	Max	C-Max	C-Max	
Walk Time (s)	1.0	7.0	7.0					7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0	11.0					11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Act Effect Green (s)		31.9	31.9					36.1	36.1		36.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.40	0.40					0.45	0.45		0.45	
V/C Katio		0.07	0.89					0.61	0.44		0.51	
Control Delay		12.4	28.9					22.8	3.8		b./	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		0.0	
i otal Delay		12.4	28.9					22.8	3.8		6.7	

WSP Canada Inc.

James Sun 10/18/2016

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 EB Off-Ramp/Hwy 1 EB On-Ramp

2036 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	٭	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	٠	†	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
LOS		В	С					С	А		А	
Approach Delay		27.8						14.4			6.7	
Approach LOS		С						В			Α	
90th %ile Green (s)	39.0	39.0	39.0					29.0	29.0	29.0	29.0	
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
70th %ile Green (s)	38.2	38.2	38.2					29.8	29.8	29.8	29.8	
70th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
50th %ile Green (s)	34.1	34.1	34.1					33.9	33.9	33.9	33.9	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
30th %ile Green (s)	28.2	28.2	28.2					39.8	39.8	39.8	39.8	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
10th %ile Green (s)	19.8	19.8	19.8					48.2	48.2	48.2	48.2	
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap					Coord	Coord	Coord	Coord	
Queue Length 50th (m)		4.6	66.7					63.7	0.4		1.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)		9.6	107.1					#111.9	18.6		82.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		516.9			544.2			260.3			176.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)			30.0						50.0			
Base Capacity (vph)		876	901					850	947		843	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.06	0.77					0.61	0.44		0.51	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: O	ther											
Cycle Length: 80												
Actuated Cycle Length: 80												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 6:	SBTL, Sta	art of Gree	en, Maste	er Intersec	tion						
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	linated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89												
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.	6			In	tersectior	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 70.5%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	С					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume ex	ceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may l	be longer								
Queue shown is maximum	after two	cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 1. Three	Sistore F	Slvd & Hv	1 ER ()ff_Ramn		R On-Ram	n					

opino anu i nases.	1. Three Olsters Divu. & Hwy	tamp/invy i ED Oli-Ramp
¶ø2		₩Ø4
35 s		45 s
Ø6 (R)		
35 s		

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 WB On-Ramp/Hwy 1 WB Off-Ramp

2036 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	-	+	•	1	1	1	1	ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4			្ឋ			1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	380	1	3	445	75	0	0	15	1
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	380	1	3	445	75	0	0	15	1
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util, Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt					0.999						0.992	
Flt Protected					0.953			0.959				
Satd, Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	1793	0	0	1806	0	0	1868	0
Elt Permitted	· ·	, ,		•	0.953	·	•	0.745	· ·	, ,		·
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	1793	0	0	1403	0	0	1868	0
Right Turn on Red	· ·	, ,	Yes	•		Yes	•		Yes	, ,		Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)											1	
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			60			60	
Link Distance (m)		528.1			501.5			200.9			268.2	
Travel Time (s)		38.0			36.1			12.1			16.1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	0.02	0.02	0.02	413	1	3	484	82	0.02	0.02	16	1
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	Ū	Ŭ	Ū	110	•	Ŭ	101	02	Ū	Ū	10	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	417	0	0	566	0	0	17	0
Turn Type	Ū	Ŭ	Ū	Perm	NA	Ŭ	Perm	NA	Ū	Ū	NA	Ū
Protected Phases				i onn	8		i onn	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8	Ū		2	-			Ŭ	
Detector Phase				8	8		2	2			6	
Switch Phase				Ŭ	Ū		-	-			Ŭ	
Minimum Initial (s)				15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0			15.0	
Minimum Split (s)				24.0	24.0		24.0	24.0			24.0	
Total Split (s)				33.0	33.0		47.0	47.0			47.0	
Total Split (%)				41.3%	41.3%		58.8%	58.8%			58.8%	
Maximum Green (s)				27.0	27.0		41.0	41.0			41 0	
Yellow Time (s)				4.0	4.0		4.0	4 0			4.0	
All-Red Time (s)				2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0			2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				2.0	0.0		2.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lead/Lag					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)				30	30		30	30			30	
Recall Mode				C-Max	C-Max		None	None			None	
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7 0		7 0	7 0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)				11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0			11.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				0	0		0	0			0	
Act Effct Green (s)				Ū	31.3		Ū	36 7			36 7	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.39			0.46			0.46	
v/c Ratio					0.59			0.88			0.02	
Control Delay					25.2			25.0			9.6	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					25.2			25.0			9.6	
LOS					2			20.0 C			A.	
Approach Delay					25.2			25.0			9.6	
Approach LOS					C			C			A	

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 2: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 WB On-Ramp/Hwy 1 WB Off-Ramp

2036 Combined Traffic PM Peak

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
90th %ile Green (s)				27.0	27.0		41.0	41.0			41.0	
90th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Max	Max			Hold	
70th %ile Green (s)				27.0	27.0		41.0	41.0			41.0	
70th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Max	Max			Hold	
50th %ile Green (s)				29.1	29.1		38.9	38.9			38.9	
50th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
30th %ile Green (s)				33.1	33.1		34.9	34.9			34.9	
30th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
10th %ile Green (s)				40.2	40.2		27.8	27.8			27.8	
10th %ile Term Code				Coord	Coord		Gap	Gap			Hold	
Queue Length 50th (m)					54.3			90.6			1.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)					89.2			#137.2			4.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)		504.1			477.5			176.9			244.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					701			719			957	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.59			0.79			0.02	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: Ot	her											
Cycle Length: 80												
Actuated Cycle Length: 80												
Offset: 61 (76%), Referenced t	to phase	8:WBTL,	Start of (Green								
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordi	nated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88												
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.8				Ir	ntersection	LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n 66.5%			10	CU Level c	of Service	С					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume exc	eeds ca	pacity, que	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximum	after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 2: Three Sisters Blvd. & Hwy 1 WB On-Ramp/Hwy 1 WB Off-Ramp



	->	\rightarrow	4	-	-	1
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	*	1	5	*	5	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	696	137	323	847	105	250
Future Volume (vph)	696	137	323	847	105	250
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (m)	1000	60.0	60.0	1000	0.0	0.0
Storage Lanes		1	1		0.0	1
Taner Length (m)		1	30.0		30 0	1
Lane I Itil Factor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
	1.00	0.850	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.850
Fit Protected		0.000	0.050		0.050	0.000
Sold Flow (prot)	1000	1601	1700	1000	1700	1601
Salu. FIOW (PIOL)	1003	1001	1/89	1003	1/89	1001
Fit Permitted	4000	1004	0.108	4000	0.950	1004
Satd. Flow (perm)	1883	1601	203	1883	1789	1601
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		124				272
Link Speed (k/h)	60			60	50	
Link Distance (m)	1174.5			1335.6	297.9	
Travel Time (s)	70.5			80.1	21.4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	757	149	351	921	114	272
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	757	149	351	921	114	272
Turn Type	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	2		1	6	8	
Permitted Phases	_	2	6	5	v	8
Detector Phase	2	2	1	6	8	8
Switch Phase	2	2	1	0	0	0
Minimum Initial (a)	15.0	15.0	80	15.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Colit (S)	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	24.U	24.U	19.0	24.0	23.5	23.5
Total Split (S)	47.5	47.5	19.0	00.5	23.5	23.5
i otal Split (%)	52.8%	52.8%	21.1%	/3.9%	26.1%	26.1%
Maximum Green (s)	41.5	41.5	15.0	60.5	18.0	18.0
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.5
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	Min	Min	Min	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)	7 0	7 0	IVIII I	7 0	7 0	7 0
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
Podoctrian Callo (#/br)	11.0	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0
	0	24.0		U	11.0	11.0
Act Effect Green (S)	34.9	34.9	55.1	53.0	11.0	11.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.46	0.72	0.69	0.15	0.15
V/C Ratio	0.88	0.19	0.80	0.70	0.42	0.58
Control Delay	32.1	4.2	32.4	10.6	37.0	9.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	32.1	42	32.4	10.6	37.0	98

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

	→	\mathbf{r}	4	-	1	1	
Lane Group	FBT	EBR	WBI	WBT	NBI	NBR	
	C	A	C	B	D	Δ	
Approach Delay	27.5	1	Ŭ	16.6	17 9	~	
Approach LOS	21.0 C			B	B		
90th %ile Green (s)	41.5	41.5	15.0	60.5	14 9	14 9	
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap	
70th %ile Green (s)	41.5	41.5	15.0	60.5	12.2	12.2	
70th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap	
50th %ile Green (s)	38.1	38.1	15.0	57.1	10.5	10.5	
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Max	Hold	Gap	Gap	
30th %ile Green (s)	32.2	32.2	14.5	50.7	10.0	10.0	
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap	Hold	Min	Min	
10th %ile Green (s)	23.1	23.1	10.3	37.4	10.0	10.0	
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Gap	Hold	Min	Min	
Queue Length 50th (m)	98.5	2.0	31.6	64.4	16.9	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#181.9	12.0	#84.0	125.1	33.8	20.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)	1150.5			1311.6	273.9		
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	60.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	1044	943	464	1512	430	592	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.73	0.16	0.76	0.61	0.27	0.46	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 76	.3						
Natural Cycle: 90							
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88							
Intersection Signal Delay: 2	20.6			In	tersectior	LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 75.8%			IC	CU Level o	of Service D)
Analysis Period (min) 15							
90th %ile Actuated Cycle:	86.9						
70th %ile Actuated Cycle:	84.2						
50th %ile Actuated Cycle:	79.1						
30th %ile Actuated Cycle:	72.2						
10th %ile Actuated Cycle:	58.9						
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longer			
Queue shown is maxim	um after two	cycles.					
Onlike and Division (D			0 - -	0.1			
Splits and Phases: 4: Re	esort Center I	ast Acc	ess & Th	ree Sister	s Pkwy		



Lane Group EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBR Lane Configurations
Lane Configurations 1 1 1 1 Traffic Volume (vph) 724 288 131 821 204 108 Future Volume (vph) 724 288 131 821 204 108 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Storage Length (m) 60.0 80.0 0.0 0.0
Traffic Volume (vph)724288131821204108Future Volume (vph)724288131821204108Ideal Flow (vphpl)19001900190019001900Storage Length (m)60.080.00.00.0
Future Volume (vph) 724 288 131 821 204 108 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900
Storage Length (m) 60.0 80.0 0.0 0.0
Taper Length (m) 30.0 30.0
Lang Litil Eactor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
Earle Gill 1 actor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
Elt Drotootod 0.050 0.050
Fit Protected 0.950 0.950 Catal Flaw (areat) 4002 4001 4700 4001
Satu. Flow (prot) 1883 1001 1789 1883 1789 1601
Fit Permitted 0.118 0.950
Satd. Flow (perm) 1883 1601 222 1883 1789 1601
Right Turn on Red Yes Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR) 280 117
Link Speed (k/h) 60 60 50
Link Distance (m) 347.3 1174.5 397.6
Travel Time (s) 20.8 70.5 28.6
Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92
Adi, Flow (vph) 787 313 142 892 222 117
Shared Lane Traffic (%)
Lane Group Flow (vph) 787 313 142 802 222 117
Turn Type NA Derm provide NA Drot Derm
Protected Discos
Permitted Disease
Petrotex Phases 2 0 0
Detector Phase 2 2 1 6 8 8
Switch Phase
Minimum Initial (s) 15.0 15.0 8.0 15.0 10.0 10.0
Minimum Split (s) 24.0 24.0 13.5 23.0 23.5 23.5
Total Split (s) 52.5 52.5 13.5 66.0 24.0 24.0
Total Split (%) 58.3% 58.3% 15.0% 73.3% 26.7% 26.7%
Maximum Green (s) 46.5 46.5 9.5 61.0 18.5 18.5
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.5 3.5
All-Red Time (s) 20 20 10 10 20 20
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total lost Time (s) 60 60 40 50 55 55
Total Lost Time (5) 0.0 0.0 4.0 5.0 5.5 Load/Loa Loa Loa Load/Load
Leau/Lag Lag Lag Leao
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes
Venicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
Recall Mode Min Min Min None None None
Walk Time (s) 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s) 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0
Act Effct Green (s) 36.2 36.2 51.1 50.0 14.6 14.6
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.48 0.48 0.68 0.66 0.19 0.19
v/c Ratio 0.87 0.34 0.43 0.72 0.64 0.29
Control Delay 29.6 3.3 9.5 12.3 39.3 8.4
Total Delay 29.6 3.3 9.5 12.3 39.3 8.4

WSP Canada Inc. James Sun 10/18/2016

	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	1	1				
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR				
	 C	A	A	B		A				
Approach Delay	22.1		71	12.0	28.7					
Approach LOS	C			B	C					
90th %ile Green (s)	46.5	46.5	9.5	61.0	18.5	18.5				
90th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Max	Hold	Max	Max				
70th %ile Green (s)	46.5	46.5	8.6	60.1	18.5	18.5				
70th %ile Term Code	Max	Max	Gap	Hold	Max	Max				
50th %ile Green (s)	38.4	38.4	8.0	51.4	15.0	15.0				
50th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Gap	Gap				
30th %ile Green (s)	30.2	30.2	8.0	43.2	11.9	11.9				
30th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Gap	Gap				
10th %ile Green (s)	22.4	22.4	8.0	35.4	10.0	10.0				
10th %ile Term Code	Gap	Gap	Min	Hold	Min	Min				
Queue Length 50th (m)	97.9	2.4	6.4	73.6	31.5	0.0				
Queue Length 95th (m)	167.3	15.5	15.8	130.3	60.9	13.9				
Internal Link Dist (m)	323.3			1150.5	373.6					
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0	80.0							
Base Capacity (vph)	1198	1121	353	1538	453	493				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.66	0.28	0.40	0.58	0.49	0.24				
Intersection Summary										
Area Type:	Other									
Cycle Length: 90										
Actuated Cycle Length: 75.	5									
Natural Cycle: 80										
Control Type: Actuated-Une	coordinated									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87										
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	8.8			In	tersectior	n LOS: B				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 69.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service C				
Analysis Period (min) 15										
90th %ile Actuated Cycle: 90										
70th %ile Actuated Cycle: 8	70th %ile Actuated Cycle: 89.1									
50th %ile Actuated Cycle: 7	50th %ile Actuated Cycle: 76.9									
30th %ile Actuated Cycle: 6	30th %ile Actuated Cycle: 65.6									
10th %ile Actuated Cycle: 5	5.9									
Splits and Phases: 5: Re	sort Center V	Vest Acc	ess & Th	nree Sister	rs Pkwy					



Intersection					
Int Delay s/veh					

Int Delay, s/veh	4.4												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			र्च	1					et 👘			र्भ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		84	0	257	0	0	0	0	328	340	44	290	0
Future Vol, veh/h		84	0	257	0	0	0	0	328	340	44	290	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	Yield	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length		-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	Ŀ	-	0	-	-	16979	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow		91	0	279	0	0	0	0	357	370	48	315	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	952	1137	315	-	0	0	726	0	0
Stage 1	411	411	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	541	726	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.52	6.22	-	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	-	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	288	202	725	0	-	-	877	-	0
Stage 1	669	595	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Stage 2	583	430	-	0	-	-	-	-	0
Platoon blocked, %					-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	269	0	725	-	-	-	877	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	269	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	625	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	583	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16			0			1.2		
HCM LOS	С								

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBR EBLn1	EBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 269	725	877	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.339	0.385	0.055	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 25.1	13	9.3	0	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- D	В	Α	Α	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 1.4	1.8	0.2	-	

TSMV Transportation Master Plan and Transportation Impact Assessment MMM Group Limited | October 2016 | 5216016-000 Last Saved: 2017-01-24 1:12:48 PM, By: Kennedy, Erin Plot Style: MMM-IE-2014-Figure.ctb P:\5215046-000 Quantum-Smith Creek ASP\Civil\5000 - Professional Services\5410 - Design-Technical\5414 - Sketches-Figures\2017-01-24__5215046-000_Parkway Section.dwg



